

(1)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
40 FOLEY SQUARE AND 500 PEARL  
STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK

MR. HARPER ALONSO BOWEN "PRO SE"  
CUNNINGHAM LANE WEST, AMERICAN-BAHAMIAN  
P.O. BOX N-9622, PLAINFIELD, NJ 07060  
NASSAU, BAHAMAS  
VS.

COMPLAINT NO.  
THE FIRST AMENDMENT CIVIL  
JURY TRIAL DEMAND:  
\$500 MILLION DOLLARS  
(FIVE HUNDRED MILLION)

THE STATE OF NEW YORK AND  
THE UNITED STATES JUSTICE  
DEPARTMENT AND THE UNITED  
STATES OF AMERICA REPRESENTED

DEFENDANTS FROM APRIL 23, 1979

HAD UP TO AND INCLUDING THE PRESENT

\* NO RELIEF OR ASSISTANCE OR ANY  
SETTLEMENT OR RESOLUTION FOR FOURTY

ONE (41) YEARS OF ARBITRARY GOVERNMENTAL

MISCONDUCT: HUSLEY V. CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT  
(1972) AND BOARD OF REGENTS V. ROTH (1972) ETC.

THIS "LEGITIMATE FIRST AMENDMENT CONSTITUTIONALLY

PREMISED COMPLAINT AND CIVIL LAW SUIT" [ABUSE] \*

ON "APRIL 23, 1979" (TOTALLY ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS

AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ARREST, SEARCH, SEIZURE AND  
"FALSE AND MISLEADING IMPRISONMENT" WITHOUT CRIME. NO  
PRESUMPTION OF TOTAL INNOCENCE. NO PROCEDURAL DUE

CLERK OF COURT  
SDNY PRO SE OFFICE  
JULY 11 AM 2:34

(2)

HARRY ALPHONSO BOWLES "PROSE" PLAINTIFF V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE UNITED STATES JUSTICE DEPARTMENT AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK (INTER ALIA) (P.2) 6-13-2022

THE PROCESS OF LAW, AND "NO EQUAL [PROTECTION] OF THE LAWS" OF THE "STATE OF NEW YORK", OR OF THE "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" OR OF THE DECEMBER 10, 1948 HUMAN RIGHTS INTERNATIONAL TREATY, AND \* "NO JUDICIALLY DETERMINED" ARREST, OR SEARCH OR SEIZURE [WARRANT] - IN TOTAL CONTRAVENTION OF [GUARANTEED] CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE "FIRST, FOURTH, FIFTH, SIXTH, EIGHTH, THIRTEENTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS" OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (INTER ALIA)."

"FACTS OF THE CASE ON APRIL 23, 1979 AT THE JAMAICA POST OFFICE, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK AT 1:30 PM [AND] AT THE METROPOLITAN CORRECTIONAL CENTER, MANHATTAN, NEW YORK"

1. ON APRIL 23, 1979, AT 1:30 PM, MY P.O. BOX WAS "ARBITRARILY LOCKED" WITHOUT NOTICE OR OTHERWISE AND DESPITE THE FACT THAT BOX REM WAS [PREPAID].
2. I QUESTIONED WHY MY PREPAID BOX WAS LOCKED WITHOUT NOTICE OR OTHERWISE. "POSTAL OFFICIAL" ASKED ME TO WAIT A FEW MINUTES WHILE HE CHECKED.
3. APPROXIMATELY TEN MINUTES LATER, TWO (2) F.B.I. AGENTS "FORCEFULLY ARRESTED" AND HANDCUFFED ME.

HARRY ALPHONSO BOWLES "PRO SE PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, (31)  
AND OTHERS, PAGE (3) JUNE 13, 2022, COMPLAINT - LAW SUIT

4. I DID NOT RESIST OR OTHERWISE. I ASKED "WHAT IS THE REASON FOR THIS UNPROVOKED AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ARREST?" F.B.I. SPECIAL AGENT MR. JAMES F. LAVIN STATED: "YOU WILL FIND OUT LATER!" I THEN RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED TO BE TAKEN BEFORE A FEDERAL COURT WHICH WAS NO MORE THAN 5 OR TEN MINUTES AWAY FROM THE JAMAICA POST OFFICE, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK. REQUEST IGNORED.
5. I WAS TAKEN DIRECTLY TO THE "METROPOLITAN CORRECTIONAL CENTER (M.C.C.)" MANHATTAN NEW YORK, ON APRIL 23, 1979. ALL RIGHTS AND RECORDS [IGNORED].
6. WHEN WE ARRIVED AT (M.C.C.) WE WERE MET BY TWO (2) INDIVIDUALS. I WAS THEN "UNLAWFULLY" CONFINED TO A CELL. AGENT LAVIN AND HIS PARTNER THEN HAD A "LENGTHY CONVERSATION" WITH THE TWO INDIVIDUALS. I OBSERVED THIS "SECRET DISCUSSION" FROM THE CELL.
7. I DISCOVERED LATER THAT SUCH (2) OFFICIALS WERE [MR. STEPHEN F. MARKSIZIN] AND [LT. NICKOLAI].
8. I REMAINED IN "TOTALLY FALSE AND MISLEADING OUTDOOR" (M.C.C.) UNTIL [APRIL 24, 1979] WHEN I APPEARED (ESCORTED) BEFORE MAGISTRATE SINCLAIR AT 10:00 AM.
9. THE "FEDERAL COURT ROOM" WAS EMPTY EXCEPT FOR THE F.B.I. AGENT LAVIN, THE MAGISTRATE SINCLAIR, THE PROSECUTOR (MR. STEPHEN F. MARKSIZIN) AND A "COUNSEL."

(4)

HARRY RICHARD BAUER, "PRO SE PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND OTHERS, (P. 4) JUNE 13, 2022, COMPLAINT

10. AS I WAS NOT ALLOWED TO CALL MY LAWYER ON [APRIL 23, 1978] OR TO GO TO "MY APARTMENT" BY "AGENT LAUN", THE MAGISTRATE AND THE PROSECUTOR [ARRESTED THE LAWYER IN EMPTY COURT ROOM] TO REPRESENT ME. THE LAWYER'S NAME WAS MR. IAN SOKIN.
11. F. B. I. AGENT MR. JAMES F. LAUN THEN "TOOK THE STAND" AND READ FROM A "STATEMENT" ABOUT A "BOMB CHARGE CAPTED AT [CHEMICAL BOND, YONKERS, NEW YORK] ON [OCTOBER 27, 1978].
12. MY NAME, HARRY RICHARD BAUER, [WAS] NEVER MENTIONED BY AGENT LAUN, IN HIS "STATEMENT."
13. MAGISTRATE SINCLAIR THEN ASKED ME HOW DO I PLEAD?
14. I ANSWERED [TOTALLY NOT GUILTY]! TOTALLY INNOCENT!
15. DESPITE BEING EMPLOYED, HAVING "FAMILY TIES" AND "SUBSTANTIAL ROOTS" [IN AMERICA], AND NEVER BEING [A FELONY OFFENDER], OR "ILLEGAL ALIEN" OR A "DEPORTABLE ALIEN" OR A "BAIL JUMPER," AND [ALL] LEGAL REQUIREMENTS TO BE IMMEDIATELY RELEASED ON "MY OWN RECOGNIZANCE," I REMAINED \* IN "TOTALLY FALSE AND MISLEADING IMPRISONMENT" UNTIL \* [JUNE 15, 1989] DATE "ILLEGALLY DEPORTED FROM AMERICA, TO NASSAU, N.P., BAHAMAS."
16. TEN (10) YEARS OF "CALCULATED AND MALICIOUS CUSTODY!" IN THE "FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS." ALL OVER AMERICA!



(5)

MC HARRY RICHMOND BOWLES "UNASSISTED PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS (P. 5) JUNE 13, 2022

17. MAGISTRATE SINCLAI [ADJOURNED CASE TO MAY 2, 1979].  
 "I REMAINED FREELY TAKEN, "DUE TO [COLLUSION] BY THE PROSECUTOR AND THE "EXTREMELY INEFFECTIVE COUNSEL, MR. TRA SAKEN, WHO SAID [NOTHING ON MY BEHALF].

18. ON MAY 2, 1979 A DIFFERENT MAGISTRATE APPEARED, HIS NAME WAS [MAGISTRATE BERANCO].

19. ON MAY 2, 1979, F.B.I. AGENT MR. JAMES F. LAVIN CAME TO MY CELL IN (M.C.C.) AND STATED:

"MR. BOWLES, IF YOU PLEAD [GUILTY] TO THIS [ONE COUNT INDICTMENT] IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. HARRY RICHMOND BOWLES, WE WILL GET YOU "PROBATION" BUT IF YOU REFUSE TO PLEAD GUILTY, WE WILL BRING A [SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT] AGAINST YOU."

20. I REPLIED: "I WILL POSITIVELY NOT PLEAD GUILTY TO "A CRIME" THAT I KNOW [NOTHING] ABOUT, PERIOD! I REMAIN [TOTALLY INNOCENT]!"

21. AGENT LAVIN THEN "SHOUTED, AND SAID, "A SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT WILL BE BROUGHT AND "YOU WILL NEVER BEAT IT!" CASE ADJOURNED TO "JUNE OF 1979." FURTHER PROSECUTION CONTINUED!

(68)

HARRY ARTHUR BAKER "UNREGISTERED PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS (P. 6) JUNE 13, 2022

22. [IN JUNE OF 1979] HON. U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE CHARLES E. STEWART GOT CASE IN [EMPTY COURT ROOM] WITH APPROXIMATELY 12 F.B.I. AGENTS "SITTING IN FRONT ROW" [LOOKING DIRECTLY] AT "JUDGE STEWART. "NEW LAWYER" WAS MR. SIDNEY F. GURAN.

23. JUST LIKE "LAWYER TRA SACKIN ON APRIL 24, 1979, BEFORE MAGISTRATE SINCLAIR, LAWYER SIDNEY F. GURAN "SPOKE ABSOLUTELY NOTHING."

24. JUDGE STEWART READ "A SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT." HE DID NOT MENTION "MY NAME."

25. I REPLIED "NOT GUILTY" AND "I AM TOTALLY INNOCENT!"

26. I DEMANDED COPY OF THE "SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT." JUDGE STEWART "ANGRILY STATED," "YOUR LAWYER WILL GIVE YOU A COPY."

27. MR. SIDNEY F. GURAN [NEVER] GAVE ME COPY OF THE "SEVEN COUNT INDICTMENT," NOR A COPY OF "THE ONE COUNT INDICTMENT." TRIAL STARTED JULY 1979.

\*28. IN JULY OF 1979 [JUROR NO. 13] STOOD UP IN "EMPTY COURT" EXCEPT FOR "THE PRINCIPALS," AND STATED: "I AM A PARALEGAL" AND "DO NOT THINK THAT ANY CRIMES HAVE BEEN COMMITTED "AGAINST THE UNITED STATES!"

(7)

ANDREW DIAMOND BOWEN "UNASSISTED PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS (P. 7) JUNE 13, 2022, COMPLAINT-LAW SUIT

?

29. JUDGE CHARLES E. STEWART THEN [ANGRILY STATED]: "THE JURY DECIDES THE FACTS, THE COURT DECIDES THE LAW! HE DISQUALIFIED JUROR #13, BUT THE REMAINING (12) JURORS APPEARED [STUNNED]: JUROR NO. 13 LEFT COURT.

→ "THE EXPECTED RESULT: (1) A "TOTALLY FREQUENT AND CONTRIVED AND GROUNDLESS CONVICTION, WELL PLANNED AND ORGANIZED". (2) THE VERDICT WAS "CLEARLY CONTRARY TO THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS AND TREATY OF THE [BODILY] UNITED STATES OF AMERICA". (3) UNLAWFUL INFRINGEMENT ONLY "CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY AND TREATY RIGHTS". (4) A LACK OF VENUE IN THE "COUNTY AND DISTRICT" OVER WHICH "THE COURT HAS [JURISDICTION]". (5) THE "VERDICT WAS PERVERSE". (6) THE VERDICT WAS "DEFECTIVE". (7) THE VERDICT WAS "EXCESSIVE" (PASSION OR PREJUDICE). (8) THE VERDICT WAS A "FALSE VERDICT," SO CONTRARY TO THE "EVIDENCE" AND "SO UNJUST" THAT JUDGE STEWART "SHOULD HAVE SET IT ASIDE". (9) THE VERDICT WAS THE RESULT OF [VIOLATION, OR ABRIDGMENT, OR RESTRICTION, OR EVASION OF PROTECTED RIGHTS UNDER THE 1ST, 4TH, 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, 13TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, LAWS AND TREATY].

(8)

HARRY ALFONSO BAKER "UNASSISTED PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (P. 8) JUNE 13, 2022, Court - Circuit

\* 30. [THE PROSECUTION] "IN ITS [ENTIRETY] WAS NULL AND VOID FROM [ITS BEGINNING] ON APRIL 23, 1979, AND \* CONTINUED FROM 1979 AND UP TO AND INCLUDING THE \* GROUNDS AND MALICIOUS AND DISCRIMINATORY → [DEPORTATION] FROM AMERICA TO NASSAU, BAHAMAS ON JUNE 15, 1989 [WITHOUT DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW OF THE [BODY] UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

\* 31. THE PENDING ARTICLE (VI) JUDGE [HEARER THIS CASE] \* HAS "ONGOING JURISDICTION" [TO REOPEN] UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. HARRY ALFONSO BAKER, NOS. 79 CRM. 295 AND S. 79 CRM. 295 (HON. CHIEF E. STEWARD) U.S. DISTRICT COURT, FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (40 Foley Square AND 500 PEARL STREET, NEW YORK, NY.) AND TO \* GIVE "JUDGMENT OF RECOGNITION" [AND OTHERWISE] ON THE "CLEAR GROUNDS OF [FRAUD] AND/OR THE "WILLFUL AND INTENTIONAL" VIOLATION, OR OBSTRUCTION, OR RESTRICTION, OR EVASION OF "FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS [HEREIN AND ELSEWHERE].

\* 32. REOPENING (OF A COURT) TO REVIEW (AN OTHERWISE FINAL AND "NON-APPEALABLE JUDGMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF POSSIBLY GRANTING OR MODIFYING" RELIEF.



(9)

HARRY ALPHONSO BOWLES "UNASSISTED PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS (P. 9) 6-13-2022 COMPLAINT-LAWSUIT

PARTICULARS OF FIRST AMENDMENT  
[CLAIMS] FOR 41 YEARS OF OBSTRUCTION:

33. THE EVENTS ON [APRIL 23, 1979] TO JUNE 15, 1989, \*WHEN PUT TO "CONSTITUTIONAL PROOF," WILL SHOW THAT THE "UNASSISTED PLAINTIFF," MR. HARRY ALPHONSO BOWLES, [AMERICAN AND BAHAMIAN] FROM \* (1968 AND UP TO AND INCLUDING THE PRESENT) \* SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN [ARRESTED, OR SEARCHED, OR SEIZED, OR [FALSELY IMPRISONED] WITHOUT DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW (5TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION)]:

"nor SHALL [ANY PERSON] BE DEPRIVED OF HIS [LIBERTY OR PROPERTY OR LIFE] WITHOUT \* DUE PROCESS OF LAW, OR THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS (INTER ALIA)..."

SEE MAPP V. OHIO (1967) ETC.

A. WHILE PRO SE PLAINTIFF - APPELLANT, HARRY ALPHONSO BOWLES'S [APPEALS WERE PENDING] IN JAILING OF (1980), I WAS, \* BY FALSE PRETENSE AND MISREPRESENTATION (ETC.) TRANSPORTED FROM THE "JURISDICTION" OF NEW YORK TO THE "JURISDICTION" OF THE "STATE AND DISTRICT OF [KENTUCKY, KENTUCKY] TO "FRUSTRATE MY APPEAL RIGHTS AND TO DEPRIVE ME OF "MY COURT DOCUMENTS, ETC."

(10)

HARRY REYNOLDS BAKER "UNARRESTED PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND OTHERS [P.10] CONSENT - LAWSUIT

B. ~~THE~~ SUCH [ARBITRARY AND GROUNDESS] TRANSPORTATION FROM "STATE TO STATE" [CONTINUED] FOR ENTIRE TEN (10) YEARS OF GROUNDESS AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROSECUTION IN ITS ENTIRETY.

C. AT NO TIME WHOMEVER [FROM JULY 1980 AND UP TO AND INCLUDING [JUNE 15, 1989] DID I, MR. HARRY REYNOLDS BAKER [EVER] "CONSENTED" TO SUCH ARBITRARY AND DISCRETIONARY TRANSPORTATION OR DETENTION, PERIOD.

D. FOR 4 YEARS - APRIL 23, 1979 - JUNE 15, 1989, AND FROM JUNE 15, 1989 TO PRESENT, IN THE BALTIMORE, WAS I [EVER] ALLOWED MY FIRST AMENDED RIGHTS [OF EXPRESSION, OR TO PEACEFULLY PARTICIPATE IN MY OWN CAUSE, OR TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT OR THE COURTS FOR A "REDRESS OF MY LEGITIMATE CONSTITUTIONAL AND SUBSTANTIVE GRIEVANCES." SEE MOSLEY V. CINCINNATI POLICE DEPT (1972) AND BOARD OF REGENTS V. ROY (1972) ETC. \* NO OPPORTUNITY TO EVEN [RESPOND, ETC.]

HENRY ALPHONSE BAKER "PRO SE AMERICAN" FROM 1963 AND UP TO THE PRESENT, PLAINTIFF V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK (ETC.) [P. 11]

(1) MAIN STATEMENT OF [CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM]:

ON APRIL 23, 1949, AT THE JAMAICA POST OFFICE AND AT THE METROPOLITAN CORRECTIONAL CENTRE, NEW YORK, NY. AT 1:30 PM, I, MR. HENRY ALPHONSE BAKER, [TOTALLY INNOCENT & INNOCENT] - WAS "WILLFULLY, INTENTIONALLY, UNLAWFULLY, FRAUDULENTLY AND IN [TOTAL VIOLATION] OF ALL MY PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS RIGHTS [SECURED] TO ME UNDER THE [4TH AMENDMENT, U.S. CONSTITUTION] ETC,

→ [WRONGLY] ARRESTED, SEARCHED, SEIZED AND [WRONGLY] IMPRISONED AND [WRONGLY] DEPRIVED OF "MY LIBERTY" AND "MY AND ALL PERSONAL EFFECTS, FUNDS, "GREEN CARD" AND "OTHER PROPERTIES" IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF MY FIRST, FOURTH, FIFTH, SIXTH, EIGHTH, THIRTEENTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO THE [CONSTITUTION] OF THE "STATE OF NEW YORK" [AND] THE "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA". IN SHORT, I WAS "CRIMINALLY AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY" [DEPRIVED] OF "MY LIBERTY AND PROPERTIES" WITH "DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL [PROTECTION] OF

→ THE LAW, [AND] WITHOUT BEING CHARGED OR CONVICTED OF ANY CRIME WHATSOEVER ON [APRIL 23, 1949]. THAT "F.B.I. AGENTS JAMES F. LOVIN AND A "STATE AGENT" ACTING IN CONCERT AND PARTICIPATION WITH OTHERS, [CONSPIRED] TO DEPRIVE ME OF MY LIBERTY AND PROPERTIES,



HARRY RUPINSON BAKER'S PROSE AMERICAN PLAIN V. STATE OF NEW YORK AND OTHERS [FROM 1979 TO JUNE 15, 1989] (12)

(2) THAT SUCH "CONSPIRATORIAL AND FALSE ARREST AND IMPRISONMENT" ON APRIL 23, 1979, WAS THE "ROOT CAUSE" OF A "GROSSLY FRAUDULENT PROSECUTION" IN ITS [ENTIRETY] IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. HARRY RUPINSON BAKER, GROUNDED INDICTMENTS NOS. 79 CRM. 295 AND 8-79 CRM. 295 (BEFORE MAGISTRATE SINELO AND APRIL 24, 1979) AND MAGISTRATE BERNIKOW (MAY 2, 1979) AND NOW U.S. FEDERAL JUDGE CHARLES E. STEWART (JULY 1979) U.S. DISTRICT COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT NEW YORK AND SUCH "WRONGLY INSTITUTED CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS" [IN 1979] RESULTED IN MY BEING "WILLING AND INTENTIONALLY" [DEPRIVED] OF MY 6TH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO "COUNSEL AND A HEAR" [AND] "RIGHT TO REPRESENT MYSELF" AND "RIGHT TO TAKE THE STAND [TO TESTIFY] CONCERNING 'THE FACTS'" AND TO PROVE "MY TOTAL INNOCENCE" OF CASHING A "BAD CHECK" AT A "CHEMICAL BANK" ON 10th ST, NEW YORK ON OCTOBER 27, 1978. I WAS FURTHER [DENIED] THE RIGHT TO CONFIDENTIAL WITNESSES WITH WHOM I NEVER MET OR SPoke IN MY LIFE. ALL CHEMICAL BANK WITNESSES WERE [ARRESTED] BY THE F.B.I. ON "THEIR BANK BOOKS 'CONFISCATED'". "CLEAR PROOF" OF "MY INNOCENCE".



HARRY REINHOLD BAUER "PROSE AMERICAN" V. STATE OF NEW YORK (ETC.) FROM (1979-1989) (P. 13)

(3) FROM (1980 - JUNE 15, 1989, I WAS, "BY FALSE PRETENSE AND FRAUD" [TRANSPORTED] "ACROSS STATE LINES" FROM "STATE TO STATE" IN ORDER [TO HINDER AND OBSTRUCT] ME FROM "FIRST AMERICAN RIGHTS" OF [ACCESS TO THE COURT] TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A "REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES" FROM APRIL 23, 1974 - JUNE 15, 1989.

(4) ON JUNE 15, 1989, I, A HARRY REINHOLD BAUER, "LEGITIMATE BAHAMIAN AND AMERICAN, WAS "FRAUDULENTLY DEPORTED" FROM [AMERICA TO NAMIBIA, BAHAMAS] AGAINST MY WILL, WITHOUT MY CONSENT, WITHOUT A "FEDERAL COURT HEARING OR ORDER,"

AND WITHOUT [EVER] HAVING BEEN [ANY] JUDGED OR DEPORTABLE PERSON, "ANY AND ALL" FUNDS AND PROPERTIES FRAUDULENTLY CONFISCATED BY THE GOVERNMENT WITH [KNOWLEDGE] I WAS NEVER A "CONVICTED FELON" NOR EVER HAVING BEEN INVOLVED IN [ANY] DRUGS, OR FRAUD, OR GANGS, OR VIOLENCE (ETC) IN MY ENTIRE LIFE.

5. FROM JUNE OF 1989 TO THE PRESENT, "ALL ATTEMPTS AT "ACCESS TO THIS COURT OBSTRUCTED!"

HARRY REINHOLD BOWEN "PRO SE AMERICAN" V. STATE OF NEW YORK AND OTHERS (P. 14)

6. IN THIS COURT, IN HARRY REINHOLD BOWEN "PRO SE PLAINTIFF" V. UNITED STATES AND OTHERS, NOS. 13 CIV. 800 AND 13 CIV. 5866 (BETTER) I HON. CURETH PERKINS I WAS PERMITTED TO PROCEED "WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF FEES OR COSTS. SEE "COURT ORDER" DATED MAY 29, 2013

A. (BECAUSE) OF "ONGOING GOVERNMENTAL MISCONDUCT" MY "FINANCIAL STRIP" REMAINS "IMPECUNIOUS."

7. THE JURISDICTION IS FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION" (ETC.) INCLUDING JURISDICTION IN 13 CIV. 800 AND 13 CIV. 5866; I WAS NOT OFFERED "DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS."

8. I AM DEMANDING MY SEVENTH AMENDMENT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO A [CIVIL JURY TRIAL] AS AMOUNT DUE FOR 18 \$500 MILLION DOLLARS.

9. I AM ASKING THE COURT [TO NAME] A "COUNSEL AND ATTORNEY-AT-LAW [TO REPRESENT] ME AS REQUIRED UNDER THE SIXTH AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION (ETC.).

HARRY NELSON BOWEN "PEOPLE AMERICAN PLUMBER  
IN STATE OF NEW YORK" AND OTHERS" [P. 15]

RELIEF SOUGHT FROM THE COURT

1. THAT THIS "URGENT FIRST AMENDMENT PETITION"  
BE ORDERED "STAMPED, FILED AND ISSUED  
[CASE NUMBER] FOR IDENTIFICATION AND OTHER  
PURPOSES." THAT "STAMPED COPY" BE SENT TO ME.
2. THAT A "COUNSEL AND ATTORNEY AT-LAW" BE  
URGENTLY APPOINTED [TO ASSIST] ME AND  
THAT "THE CLERK" SEND ME THE "NAME AND  
ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF SUCH  
COUNSEL AS "I HAVE NO TYPEWRITER, OR  
COMPUTER." I AM INDIGENT, NOT BY CHOICE!
3. THAT THE HON. COURT FURTHER [ENSURE]  
THAT COPY OF THIS "COMPLAINT" BE "PERSONALLY  
SERVED" WITH A "SUMMONS."
4. SUCH FURTHER ORDERS THAT THE COURT  
DEEMS "NECESSARY AND CONSTITUTIONAL" TO  
ENSURE IMMEDIATE [ACTION].

PREPARED SUE BY HARRY NELSON BOWEN,  
WINDSOR LAKE WEST, P.O. BOX 1622 NAPERVIL,  
ILLINOIS (242) 558-5476.

RECEIVED  
SDNY PRO SE OFFICE  
JUL 12 2022

AIRMAIL

TO: THE PERSONAL ATTENTION OF  
THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT  
OF NEW YORK  
500 PEARL STREET  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007

AIRMAIL

AIRMAIL

LEGAL PAPERS ONLY

STAMP 33

AT 1/18/22

MERRILL RICHARD BAKER  
P.O. BOX 19622  
NASSAU, N.Y. 11763

JULY 12, 2022

